Upcoming Council Meetings

A Study Session will be held on **Monday, October 2, 2017** at 6:00 p.m. in the Community Room. The Regular Meeting will follow at 7:00 p.m. in Council Chambers.

The next Study Session will be held on **Monday, October 9, 2017** at 6:00 p.m. in the Community Room.

Informational Items

The following attachments are in response to City Council requests, as well as other informational items.

1. Denver Post article: Opera Colorado will soon be singing in Englewood
2. Englewood Herald article: 5 things to know about Opera Colorado
3. Englewood Herald article: My Name is: Megan Fields
4. Invitation: Healthy Corridors Workshop - South Broadway
5. Memo: Response to Public Hearing Comments and Questions
6. Calendar of Events
7. Tentative Study Session Topics
Opera Colorado will soon be singing in Englewood

Company to consolidate office, rehearsal space in renovated warehouse on South Navajo Street

This 1930 warehouse with bow truss roof in Englewood will soon house the administrative offices and rehearsal space for Opera Colorado.

By JOE RUBINO | jrubino@denverpost.com | The Denver Post
PUBLISHED: September 22, 2017 at 4:53 pm | UPDATED: September 26, 2017 at 12:10 pm

Opera Colorado is packing up its sopranos, tenors and baritones and heading south. And its office staff is coming too.

The nonprofit arts organization, long based on Colorado Boulevard in Denver, announced this week it will soon move into a 7,000-square-foot space at 4121 S. Navajo St. in Englewood. Housed in a 1930s warehouse renovated in recent years as part of the Oxford Station apartment project, the new headquarters will allow Opera Colorado to consolidate its office and rehearsals space under one roof for the first time in 30 years, officials say.
The organization is calling its future home the Opera Colorado Opera Center. It will mark the end of rehearsals at the Ellie Caulkins Opera House in downtown Denver.

“The idea of moving Opera Colorado’s office has been years in the making, and we are thrilled to finally see all the pieces align this past year, and our vision of creating an opera center come to fruition,” General Director Greg Carpenter said in a news release.

The company has signed a 10-year lease for the space, located near the Oxford Station light rail stop. It hopes to move in late November, after it wraps its run of performances of “La Bohème” at Ellie Caulkins, officials say. The City of Englewood is providing some construction tax rebates to the company as part of incentive program for employers bringing jobs into the city, according to a news release.

“Opera Colorado had many options for their new location and we are very pleased that they chose Englewood,” city Community Development Director Brad Power said in a news release.
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Joe Rubino
Joe Rubino focuses on consumer news for The Denver Post. He wrote for the Broomfield Enterprise, Boulder Daily Camera and YourHub before joining the Post’s business team in 2017. A Denver native, he attended Kennedy High School and the CU journalism school. He can be reached at rubinojc@denverpost.com
The brick building in the middle of the Oxford Station apartments Sept. 21 in Englewood is the future home to Opera Colorado, a nonprofit that produces opera shows. The company will move from Denver this fall.

The Oxford Station area is about to get an artsy tinge as Opera Colorado’s offices relocate from central Denver to Englewood this fall.

The organization that’s brought opera performances to Colorado for more than three decades is expected to move in late November to an office at 4121 S. Navajo St., near the Oxford-City of Sheridan RTD light rail station and just inside the Englewood city border.

Here are a few things to know about the move.

**The lowdown on Opera Colorado**

The organization brings opera performances primarily at the Ellie Caulkins Opera House in downtown Denver. Founded in 1983, it presents new works and “rarely performed productions” in addition to more commonly known operas, according to its website.

It reports reaching more than 37,000 students and adults through its education and community engagement programs “throughout the Rocky Mountain region,” the site said.

**For the up-and-coming**
Opera Colorado’s Young Artists program allows singers making a foray into their careers to perform during an eight-month residency with the organization at schools and community centers across Colorado. It makes an annual tour on the Western Slope area of the state, its website said.

A place making a comeback

The Oxford Station development area includes a recently opened apartment complex, which offers 238 apartment units. It sits on land formerly home to Martin Plastics, a that produced a variety of packaging supplies including Styrofoam packaging peanuts. Martin Plastics established its location on that site in 1954.

Just a block from the light rail, it’s now what’s called a transit-oriented development, said Darren Hollingsworth, economic development manager for the city of Englewood.

During the apartment construction, crews demolished the main buildings but left a brick-exterior, bow-truss style building, a design that was popular in the 1930s and 1940s. Based on its hardwood trim and exposed beams inside, development company Littleton Capital Partners deemed it viable for commercial or office space.

It offers about 9,000 square feet of office space, 6,906 of which will house Opera Colorado’s new offices.

“It’s a unique building and … a wonderful addition to the neighborhood,” Hollingsworth said.

Art and administration under one roof

Previously, Opera Colorado ran business out of its office location and rehearsals took place at the Ellie Caulkins Opera House and other locations in and around the Denver Performing Arts Complex. The move will combine the company’s office space with its rehearsal space.

Staff and artists will have direct access to each other’s work, allowing for more “collaboration and innovation” within the nonprofit, Opera Colorado said in a news release.

Positions with company coming

The move will relocate 12 existing jobs to the Englewood community, Hollingsworth said. The relocation will also allow Opera Colorado to add two new employees within six months of the move.

Englewood’s economic development staff worked closely with Opera Colorado to identify a suitable office space, Hollingsworth said.

“We are very pleased that Opera Colorado is moving to Englewood,” Hollingsworth said.
My Name Is: Megan Fields

Englewood Public Library employee with an affinity for moose

Megan Fields, a library associate at Englewood Public Library, stands near event posters at the library Sept. 21. Fields, who moved from Aurora, has lived in Englewood for more than eight years.

Ellis Arnold
Posted Tuesday, September 26, 2017 7:55 am
Proud children's library associate

My favorite part is interacting with the children and their families. I love watching children grow and develop. The first time you see a little one rolling their hands along to “Wheels on the Bus” is exciting and adorable! It’s unbelievably rewarding to know that I am helping young children to achieve new milestones through storytimes and fun programs. Plus, not everyone can say they spent their work day hosting a Spy Training Camp or making slime!

Aurora to Englewood

I have lived in Englewood for more than eight years. I bought my house in January 2009. I (moved) from Aurora to Englewood, but it was after having already rented in Englewood for a year. In 2008, I was renting in Englewood with a friend and knew I would not be renewing my lease. With encouragement from my dad, I decided my next step was to start looking for a house to buy.

I did look around the Denver metro area, but focused much of my search in Englewood because I fell in the love with the community … While I loved growing up in Aurora, it is a large city that struggles to have that close-knit community feeling. With Englewood, I get the resources of a city but the small-community vibe that just feels like home. I am proud of my Aurora roots, but I love to
make the joke that I moved from the hood to the ‘wood!

**Neighborly love**

Englewood is a wonderful small community where people can still get to know each other and families put down roots for multiple generations. There are hidden gems all over including beautiful older homes, dog parks, world-renowned hospitals, easy access to light rail, a fabulous pink fountain in October and, of course, a beautiful public library with an amazing children’s department.

My best memory (here) is taking my dog, Gatsby, to play at Jason Park when he was a puppy. The park is gorgeous, and it is rare to have a dog park with grass. At that time, I had recently moved into my house, and it was a way to socialize with people in the community and hear about community happenings. Plus, Gatsby had a lot of fun socializing with the other dogs! I would even see Englewood’s current mayor, Joe Jefferson, at the park with his dog.

**You might not know: moose memorabilia**

I collect moose memorabilia. When I was in high school, I had a volleyball coach nickname me “Moose” and it developed into a passion for moose items. The decorating scheme at my house definitely involves moose.
Please join us for... A Healthy Corridors Workshop to advance health along South Broadway in Englewood.

Date: October 10, 2017
Time: 8 am – 4 pm (workshop)
4 pm – 5 pm (reception)
Location: City of Englewood Community Development Department, 1000 Englewood Parkway, Englewood CO 80110

Purpose:
The purpose of this workshop is to convene public, private, and non-profit community stakeholders and ULI representatives to explore the opportunities for advancing health in this corridor. The outcomes from this workshop will become key considerations for a national group of experts during an advisory services workshop taking place in early 2018. Your participation is crucial to this process, and any ideas your share or discuss during the workshop, are valuable.

What to expect:
• Leading experts on the importance of health & the built environment.
• Local planners discussing current planning initiatives and existing health conditions.
• A bus tour of the study area.
• Stakeholders (you) engaging in dialogue and exercises to identify opportunities to improve health and the built environment in that area.
• Healthy food options provided to you throughout the day!

RSVP to Colorado@uli.org

About the Healthy Corridors Project:
Across the U.S., communities aspire to become more economically vibrant, equitable, and sustainable places. With support from the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (RWJF) and Colorado Health Foundation (CHF), Urban Land Institute (ULI) Colorado is joining a national study to transform typical strip corridors into healthy places to live and work. ULI Colorado is studying the South Broadway corridor in Englewood and making recommendations to advance health in this area.

The Healthy Corridors Approach...
considers how the street supports the daily needs and affects the health of all who live, work, and travel along it. The healthy corridors approach considers how the corridor contributes to the overall health of the surrounding community, including supplying opportunities to be physically active. It also considers safety, housing affordability, transportation options, environmental sustainability, and social cohesion, as well as modifications that would link residents to the corridor and improve connections to jobs and other parts of the community.
To: Eric A. Keck, City Manager
Through: Kathleen Rinkel, Director of Finance and Administrative Services
From: Jennifer Nolan, Revenue and Budget Manager
Date: September 19, 2017
Re: Response to Public Hearing Comments and Questions

We appreciate the thoughtful citizen review of the Proposed 2018 Budget document and the comments and questions brought forward during the September 18, 2017 Public Hearing.

Following are the responses to the comments and questions brought forth during the Public Hearing for the Proposed 2018 Budget:

1. **Page 129: CMO revenue moving to zero for FY18. What were the constituents in the previous years and why is the revenue going to zero?**
   - The Intergovernmental Revenue was due to the Colorado Helps Advanced Manufacturing Program (CHAMPS) federal grant for the summer lunch program administered by the City of Englewood. The project grant period will run from June 2016 – December 2017. We do not know if this grant will be renewed or awarded in 2018; therefore it is not budgeted.
   - The Charges for Services revenue from business sponsorships for the Community Calendar is tracked in Communications Department budget in 2018.
   - The Other revenue is the rental income from the Community Room which is accounted for in the Public Works budget as this department began in 2017 to administer the renting of the Community Room.

2. **Page 130: Fire suppression budget shows a personnel expense but there are no personnel listed in the budget. How is this possible?**
   - The personnel expense budgeted in the Fire Division for 2018 is the City’s Pension Contribution for the former employees of the Englewood Fire Department. This cost will continue for the life of these firefighters.

3. **Page 168: Licenses and permits in the Police budget increasing sharply. Why the increase?**
   - Starting in 2015, the Fire Marshal function was merged with the Police Department. In 2016, the Fire Marshal began issuing Fire Permits and charging for plan reviews. 2017 plan review volume has exceeded expectations and is anticipated to carry through into 2018. The 2018 budget estimate for the issuance of Fire Permits is $100,000.

4. **Page 168: Why do intergovernmental revenues go to zero for FY18?**
   - The Police Department seeks Colorado State Peace Officers Standards and Training (POST) Grants and if awarded the budget for both the revenue and expenditure are increased by the same amount, as this grant is a reimbursement of authorized POST related expenditures. We do not forecast the revenue or expenditure with the new budget as we do not know for sure if we will be awarded the grant.

5. **Page 103: Not a balanced budget when sources are exceeded by uses. How is this possible?**
According to the City Charter Article X Finance Administration Part I Budget Section 83: -

Scope of annual budget subsection (f) highlighted below, the City is required to provide a balance between the total estimated expenditures and total anticipated revenue from all sources, taking into account the estimated fund cash surplus or deficit at the end of the current fiscal year.

The Budget shall contain:
(a) An estimate of anticipated revenue from all sources other than the tax levy of the ensuing fiscal year.
(b) An estimate of the general fund cash surplus at the end of the current fiscal year or of the deficit to be made up by appropriation.
(c) The estimated expenditures necessary for the operation of the several departments, offices, and agencies of the City.
(d) Debt service requirements for the ensuing fiscal year.
(e) An estimate of the sum required to be raised by the tax levy for the ensuing fiscal year, and the rate of levy necessary to produce such sum, based on a percentage of collection not exceeding the lowest percentage of current levy collection experienced during the three preceding complete fiscal years.
(f) A balance between the total estimated expenditures and total anticipated revenue from all sources, taking into account the estimated general fund cash surplus or deficit at the end of the current fiscal year. All estimates shall be in detail, showing revenues by sources and expenditures by organizational units, activities, character or object. The budget shall be arranged to show comparative figures for receipts and expenditures for at least two prior years and for the current year, and the City Manager's recommendations for the ensuing year.

The City is using the 2018 estimated beginning fund balance as calculated by the estimated ending fund balance for 2017 in several funds to offset the additional uses of funds. None of the City funds are budgeting expenditures or uses of funds in excess of their estimated beginning fund balance plus estimated sources of funds.

The below chart will be added to the pie chart for All Funds on page 103.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund Types</th>
<th>2018 Estimated Beginning Fund Balance</th>
<th>Total Fund Sources*</th>
<th>Total Fund Uses</th>
<th>2018 Estimated Ending Fund Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>$11,190,855</td>
<td>$47,429,086</td>
<td>$47,801,920</td>
<td>$10,818,021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Revenue Funds</td>
<td>1,513,936</td>
<td>1,236,060</td>
<td>1,815,060</td>
<td>934,936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Service Funds</td>
<td>1,034,333</td>
<td>3,301,250</td>
<td>3,321,501</td>
<td>1,014,082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Projects Funds</td>
<td>24,961,015</td>
<td>6,257,052</td>
<td>30,548,188</td>
<td>669,879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterprise Funds</td>
<td>22,446,175</td>
<td>28,968,058</td>
<td>36,222,760</td>
<td>15,191,473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Service Funds</td>
<td>4,175,572</td>
<td>11,346,424</td>
<td>11,078,398</td>
<td>4,443,598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>$65,321,887</td>
<td>$98,537,930</td>
<td>$130,787,827</td>
<td>$33,071,990</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* A data entry error was noticed that affects the Servicenter Fund. The revenue was reduced by $45,156 during the proposed budget discussion and the change was reflected in the fund balance page; however, the pie charts on page 103 tie to a document that did not get updated. This oversight will be corrected for the budget ordinance and in the final budget document printed in December, 2017.

Also attached is a copy of Ordinance 51 of Series 2016 that provides the City of Englewood Adopted Financial Policies for a Structurally Balanced Budget, Fund Balance Policy, Debt Policy and Investment Policy.
6. **Page 103: $32 million deficit.** This concern is in part answered in Question #5 above.
   - The majority of the Uses of Funds in excess of Sources of Funds is due to the Englewood Police Headquarters Construction Fund. The revenue for this effort ($32 million) was received in 2017, so does not reflect as a source for 2018, but is part of the fund balance. We expect to spend $24 million in 2018 on this project.
   - The other major portion of the $32 million difference is in the Sewer fund as reserve is used to fund the new BioGas project at the Littleton/Englewood Waste Water Plant ($4.8 million).

7. **City cannot capitalize software for the Court as it has too short a life.**
   - The capital outlay of software is capitalized when it meets the City’s capitalization requirements as software is an asset. Maintenance or support services of software is not capitalized. The City’s asset capitalization policy allows expenditures that install an asset or extend the life of an asset (having a life of more than a year and investment greater than $5,000).

8. **Community was told that Slate was cheaper and now we are getting rid of them.**
   - We are not proposing to completely forgo professional services in communications. Rather, we are proposing that we continue the use of professional services for the Englewood Magazine, just not for event planning. The event planning will come in-house by filling an existing vacancy. Slate trained City Staff in the current year and we feel ready to take the event planning on now. Further information can be found on the Marketing and Communications-Internal and External program sheet on pages 45-46 and 49-50.

9. **Asked where the MOA funds are in the budget?**
   - The Museum of Outdoor Art (MOA) contract is budgeted in the City Council’s Budget at $96,000.

10. **Page 210: Where is the bond revenue offsetting expenditures.**
    - The General Obligation Bond proceeds that provides funding for the Police Headquarters Construction Fund is shown on page 210 as “Other Financing Sources”. This was received in 2017 after the original 2017 budget was approved, thus it is shown in the 2017 Estimate column.

11. **How can the City have expended $8.7 million on the police building in current fiscal year?**
    - The City received $32.6 million in General Obligation Bond proceeds for the design and construction of the Police Headquarters Building as well as for related public safety equipment needs. Through August, 2017, the City has spent $638 thousand on engineering and architectural services. The total anticipated spend for 2017 is $8.7 million. This will cover the remaining engineering and architectural services and some initial construction. The remainder of the bond proceeds are expected to be spent in construction of the building in 2018.

12. **Page 185: Communications budget page has incorrect data. Contains information from the police department.**
    - We appreciate the review identifying that this chart did not get updated in the Proposed 2018 Budget document. The updated page is provided on page 5 of this document and will be provided in the final document printed in December, 2017.

13. **Page 212: No 2018 data is shown for the enterprise funds.**
    - We appreciate the review identifying that this chart did not get updated in the Proposed 2018 Budget document. The updated page is provided on page 6 of this document and will be provided in the final document printed in December, 2017.

14. **Page 231: The uses are not the same as what is on P234’s summary.**
The $1,000 difference from the summary page on 231 ($479,012) was a data entry error that has been corrected on the detail page on 234 (from $478,012 to $479,012). This update will reflect in the final budget document that will be printed in December, 2017.

15. **Page 258: Problems with capital replacement list.**
   The Caprice vehicles noted in the Proposed 2018 Budget are police cars that are stressed with stop and go driving and extended idle times. Although budgeted for a 60 month replacement, increasing the life cycle of these vehicles will be closely monitored for maintenance costs, down time and cost per mile and will be adjusted as needed.

16. **Page 108: Public Works expenditure is $1 off.**
   The rounding error on the Traffic Engineering detail sheet (pg 162) was corrected and the Public Works Budget remains at $6,334,675. This update will reflect in the final budget document that will be printed in December, 2017.

17. **What is the Englewood 5?**
   The "Englewood 5" is the five key organizational priorities within the City's Strategic Plan. The five areas are Compensation Plan, Performance Management, Fiscal Fundamentals, Communication Improvements, and Workplace Safety. The full 2017-2019 Strategic Plan can be found on the City's website [http://www.Englewoodgov.org/home/showdocument?id=17229](http://www.Englewoodgov.org/home/showdocument?id=17229)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>History and Budget</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>Budget 2017</th>
<th>Estimate 2017</th>
<th>Budget 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licenses &amp; Permits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intergovernmental</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charges for Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fines &amp; Forfeitures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment Income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT Debt Proceeds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfers In</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Revenue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>198,300</td>
<td>111,432</td>
<td>210,427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>37,210</td>
<td>37,210</td>
<td>36,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>175,044</td>
<td>175,044</td>
<td>186,878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer Out</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Expenditure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>410,554</td>
<td>323,686</td>
<td>434,015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-21.16%</td>
<td>34.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee FTE</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Change FTE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>-40.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Enterprise Funds

Water Fund, Sewer Fund, Storm Drainage Fund, Golf Course Fund, Concrete Utility Fund and Housing Rehabilitation Fund

Combined Statement of Fund Sources, Uses and Changes in Fund Balance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beginning Funds Available</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 Actual</td>
<td>$16,312,500</td>
<td>20,127,357</td>
<td>$18,401,205</td>
<td>$18,667,497</td>
<td>$20,047,658</td>
<td>$17,615,710</td>
<td>$22,621,637</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources of Funds**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenue</strong></td>
<td>27,114,568</td>
<td>27,739,882</td>
<td>28,758,365</td>
<td>29,798,947</td>
<td>29,608,597</td>
<td>28,326,499</td>
<td>28,803,717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Financing Sources</strong></td>
<td>9,756,617</td>
<td>3,214,770</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Sources of Funds**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36,871,185</td>
<td>30,954,652</td>
<td>28,758,365</td>
<td>29,798,947</td>
<td>29,608,597</td>
<td>28,326,499</td>
<td>28,803,717</td>
<td>28,968,058</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Uses of Funds**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenditures</strong></td>
<td>30,672,695</td>
<td>30,164,223</td>
<td>28,429,073</td>
<td>28,418,786</td>
<td>27,034,618</td>
<td>31,080,750</td>
<td>28,979,179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Financing Uses</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,516,581</td>
<td>63,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Uses of Funds**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30,672,695</td>
<td>32,680,804</td>
<td>28,492,073</td>
<td>28,418,786</td>
<td>27,034,618</td>
<td>31,080,750</td>
<td>28,979,179</td>
<td>36,222,760</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Net Sources (Uses) of Funds**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6,198,490</td>
<td>10,509,862</td>
<td>2,259,292</td>
<td>1,380,161</td>
<td>2,573,979</td>
<td>(2,754,251)</td>
<td>(175,462)</td>
<td>(7,254,702)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Prior period restatement**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>280,233</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ending Funds Available**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$22,510,990</td>
<td>$18,401,205</td>
<td>$18,667,497</td>
<td>$20,047,658</td>
<td>$22,621,637</td>
<td>$14,861,459</td>
<td>$22,446,175</td>
<td>$15,191,473</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funds Available Percentage Change

| -18.26% | 1.45% | 7.39% | 12.84% | -25.87% | 51.04% | -32.32% |
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RESOLUTION NO. 51
SERIES OF 2016

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ADOPTION OF THE ENGLEWOOD FINANCIAL POLICIES: STRUCTURALLY BALANCED BUDGET, FUND BALANCE POLICY, DEBT POLICY, INVESTMENT POLICY AND THE AUDIT PROCUREMENT.

WHEREAS, the PFM Group has met with the Englewood City Council to discuss the best practices in financial policy management; and

WHEREAS, the policies were written through a collaboration of the PFM Group and the Englewood Finance and Administrative Services Department and have been based on best practice and industry standard as recommended by the Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA); and

WHEREAS, the GFOA states that “financial policies are central to a strategic, long-term approach to financial management.”;

WHEREAS, the City has not adopted financial policies and it is recommended as the best practice to have financial policies in place to provide guidance and structure; and

WHEREAS, the Structurally Balanced Budget Policy: The Policy contains key terms and approach for budget construction. The policy addresses fiscal health and long-term fiscal wellness through the matching of recurring revenues with recurring expenses and the use of one-time revenue sources with funding one-time expenditures; and

WHEREAS, the Fund Balance Policy: The Policy enunciates the need for the City to maintain an unrestricted General Fund balance of 2 months of operating fund expenditures. Furthermore, the policy discusses the prioritization of fund balance usage and dictates that when balances fall below minimum prescriptions that the City will fulfill the required year-end balance before any other budget allocations are made for any subsequent year; and

WHEREAS, the Debt Management Policy: This policy enunciates the necessity of performing a debt affordability study prior to any proposed debt issuance. It also prescribes the minimum savings threshold for any refunding of existing debt and sets 3% of $100,000 savings of net present value as the limit to move forward. The policy also clearly sets forth the rules by which the City would move forward with the issuance of any debt including that the City will not utilize derivative financing and that the maximum maturity of any debt issuance shall be 20 years; and

WHEREAS, the Investment Policy: The City currently utilizes GFOA influenced investment policy that has not been formally adopted by the Englewood City Council. The policy is very well written and just needs to be applied in all instances of fund investing going forward; and

WHEREAS, the Audit Procurement Policy: This policy enunciates the rules by which the City shall follow with the selection of an independent financial auditor. These rules include that any audit firm must provide certified public accountants that are licensed to practice in Colorado. It also lays out the frequency by which audit procurement should be performed by soliciting proposals every 6 years.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ENGLEWOOD, COLORADO, THAT:

Section 1. The City Council of the City of Englewood, Colorado hereby authorizes the adoption of the Structurally Balanced Budget Policy, attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Section 2. The City Council of the City of Englewood, Colorado hereby authorizes the adoption of the Fund Balance Policy, attached hereto as Exhibit B.

Section 3. The City Council of the City of Englewood, Colorado hereby authorizes the adoption of the Debt Management Policy, attached hereto as Exhibit C.

Section 4. The City Council of the City of Englewood, Colorado hereby authorizes the adoption of the Investment Policy, attached hereto as Exhibit D.

Section 5. The City Council of the City of Englewood, Colorado hereby authorizes the adoption of the Audit Procurement Policy, attached hereto as Exhibit E.

ADOPTED AND APPROVED this 21st day of March, 2016,

ATTEST:

Joe Jefferson, Mayor

Loucrishia A. Ellis, City Clerk

I, Loucrishia A. Ellis, City Clerk for the City of Englewood, Colorado, hereby certify the above is a true copy of Resolution No. 51, Series of 2016.
I. POLICY STATEMENT

The City of Englewood is a political subdivision of the State, organized for the purpose of providing municipal services to residents of the City as defined in its Charter. The City is governed by a seven-member City Council. The Council’s primary functions are to provide for the general operation and personnel of the City, to oversee the property, facilities, and financial affairs of the City, and to establish policies for the City. It is the intention of the Council that this policy always be in compliance with the requirements of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and be informed by the applicable Best Practices and Advisories developed by the Government Finance Officers Association (“GFOA”); however, the Policy must also reflect the objectives and tolerances of the City. This Policy has been drafted with reference to the guidance of the GFOA as of the date of adoption. It is understood that the GFOA amends and modifies its guidance over time.

The Council assigns to the City Manager or the Manager’s designee overall responsibility for budget preparation, budget presentation, and budget administration. For the purposes of this Policy, the City Manager’s designee is presumed to be the Director of Finance and Administrative Services (the “Director”) who functions as the fiduciary entrusted to protect and enhance the City’s financial condition. The Director is periodically to review the GFOA’s Best Practices and Advisories and recommend conforming modifications to this Policy as warranted.

II. POLICY PURPOSE AND SCOPE

This Policy will set guidelines for budget planning and procedures. The GFOA recommends that all state and local governments adopt rigorous policies, for all operating funds, aimed at achieving and maintaining a structurally balanced budget. A budget policy should include parameters for achieving and maintaining structural balance where recurring revenues are equal to recurring expenditures in the adopted budget.

The annual budget is the financial plan for the operation of the City. It provides the framework for both expenditures and revenues for the year and translates into financial terms the programs and priorities of the City. The guidelines contained in this Policy adhere to the Colorado Constitution and State Statutes and reflect the recommended practices of the Government Finance Officers Association.

III. LEGAL REQUIREMENTS

The City is required to balance its budget each year as outlined in the City’s Charter (X-1-81 through 96) and the Colorado Revised Statutes (28-1-103). As established in the Charter, the budget shall contain a balance between the total estimated expenditures and total anticipated revenue from all sources, taking into account the estimated general fund cash surplus or deficit at the end of the current fiscal year. The proposed budget shall be submitted to Council prior to September 15 of each year.

IV. PRESENTATION

Each year the Director shall cause to be prepared a budget preparation calendar which shall ensure that all deadlines established by law for budget presentation, hearings and adoption and for certification of amounts to be raised by tax levies are met by the City. The budget calendar shall take into consideration the possible need to submit a request to raise additional local revenue to a vote by the City’s electorate.

The budget shall be presented in a summary format which is understandable by any lay person reviewing the City’s budget. The budget format shall itemize expenditures of the City by fund. It shall describe the expenditure, show amount budgeted and amount estimated to be expended for the current fiscal year and the amount budgeted for the ensuing fiscal year.

The budget shall include a uniform summary sheet for each fund administered by the City that details the beginning fund balance and anticipated ending fund balance for the budget year; the anticipated fund revenues for the budget year; the anticipated transfers and allocations that will occur to and from the fund during the budget year; the anticipated expenditures that will be made from the fund during the budget year; and the amount of reserves in the fund.

The budget shall also disclose planned compliance with spending limitations outlined in Article X, Section 20, of the Colorado Constitution.

V. DEFINITIONS OF KEY TERMS

The GFOA recommends identification of key terms related to structural balance. These include: recurring and non-recurring revenues, recurring and non-recurring expenditures, and reserves.

A. *Recurring Revenues* are the portion of the City’s revenues that can reasonably be expected to continue year to year, with some degree of predictability.

B. *Non-Recurring Revenues* are unreliable sources of funds that are typically short in duration and cannot be relied upon in future years.

C. *Recurring Expenditures* appear in the budget each year. These expenditures should be those that the City expects to fund every year in order to maintain current or status quo service levels.
D. Non-Recurring Expenditures are obligations that are not expected to occur in future years. In general, the City has a greater degree of flexibility to defer these expenditures.

E. Reserves are the portion of fund balance that is set aside as a hedge against risk as contemplated in the Fund Balance Policy.

The City shall define all revenues and expenditures by these terms.

VI. STRUCTURAL BALANCE

The City shall adopt a structurally balanced budget, where recurring revenues equal or exceed recurring expenditures. The budget shall identify how recurring revenues are aligned with or not aligned with recurring expenditures. For a variety of reasons, true structural balance may not be possible for the City at a given time. In such case, using reserves to balance the budget may be considered but only as contemplated in the Fund Balance Policy. Enterprise Funds are presumed to be operated in the manner as contemplated by TABOR and to that point would be expected to operate on a stand-alone basis. Accordingly, any exchange of funds from the General Fund and any other Fund is presumed for purposes of this Policy to reflect payment of internal service charges or to formally create a due to or due from, and should be considered in the same manner as the Fund Balance Policy’s use of fund balance.

This analysis and matching of revenues and expenditures helps inform the decision-making of the City. It provides an explanation for any variances in the budget and maintains a “spend within our means” approach to budgeting. Maintaining a structurally balanced budget is the basis for the current fiscal health and long-term fiscal wellness of the City. In the short term, achieving fiscal health means understanding the cost of all operations and the City’s ability to pay. This includes the establishment and maintenance of reserves as recognized in the Fund Balance Policy. The continuous evaluation of City revenues and expenditures along with long-term planning and program management allows the City to achieve overall fiscal wellness.

VII. CHARGES AND FEES

The Director shall, on a periodic basis, evaluate the sufficiency and adequacy of current charges and fees. This includes identifying the factors affecting the pricing of goods and services and the expectation of recovering costs. For further guidance during the evaluation, staff should utilize the GFOA’s Best Practice “Establishing Government Charges and Fees.” Particular areas for identification and discussion include, but are not limited to, the following:

A. Anticipated operation and maintenance expenditures;
B. Replacement and future costs of capital;
C. Fund balance.

VIII. CAPITAL PROJECTS

Upon adoption of a capital budget or multi-year capital plan the City should present major capital program highlights in the operating budget document. The City shall also discuss and quantify the operating impact of capital projects in the budget document. The impacts should be identified on an individual project basis, but may be summarized. A greater level of detail and information should be provided for non-routine capital projects than for routine projects, as non-routine projects may have a greater impact on the operating budget.
Fund Balance Policy

I. POLICY STATEMENT

The City of Englewood is a political subdivision of the State, organized for the purpose of providing municipal services to residents of the City as defined in the charter. The City is governed by a seven-member City Council. The Council's primary functions are to provide for the general operation and personnel of the City, to oversee the property, facilities and financial affairs of the City, and to establish policies for the City. It is the intention of the Council that this policy always be in compliance with the requirements of the Governmental Accounting Standards (GASB) and be informed by the applicable Best Practices and Advisories developed by the Government Finance Officers Association ("GFOA"). However, the objectives and tolerances of the City must dictate the final form of the policy and adherence thereto. The City Council directs the City Manager or the Manager's designee to implement this policy. For the purpose of this Policy, the City Manager's designee is presumed to be the Director of Finance and Administrative Services (the "Director") who functions as the fiduciary entrusted to protect and enhance the City's financial condition.

II. PURPOSE AND SCOPE

This policy enables the City to prudently and responsibly address the implications of GASB Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Definitions.

The GFOA recommends that all state and local governments adopt comprehensive written financial management policies, including a formal policy on the level of fund balance maintained in the general fund. As of the date of its adoption, this policy was crafted drawing upon GFOA's Best Practice "Appropriate Level of Unrestricted Fund Balance in the General Fund" (approved September 2015). It is understood that GASB and GFOA periodically adopt, amend and modify their respective Statements and Best Practices and Advisories. The City Manager is to periodically review the promulgations of GASB and GFOA among other relevant sources and, as warranted, recommend conforming modifications to this policy.

This policy sets guidelines addressing the appropriate level of fund balance so as to mitigate current and future risks. The policy is intended to enhance the quality of decisions about budgeting and net asset management. Through this policy, the Council will oversee the process by which the City will manage for revenue volatility, maintain adequate liquidity, manage and mitigate financial market risk, ensure stable tax rates, and anticipate City commitments and assignments. This policy should be read in its entirety and read in conjunction with other financial policies adopted by the City.

In accordance with General Accepted Accounting Principles, the term fund balance refers to the difference between assets and liabilities under the modified accrual basis of accounting for governmental funds. Unrestricted fund balance refers to the categories of fund balance that include only resources without a constraint on spending or for which the constraint on spending is imposed by the government itself. Unrestricted fund balance is a measure of economic stability, and adequate levels of unrestricted fund balance will assure liquidity and will mitigate the risks associated with revenue fluctuations and unanticipated expenditures. The adequacy of the general fund balance is a key credit consideration for the rating agencies.

III. FUND BALANCE CONSIDERATIONS UNDER GASB NO. 54

GASB Statement No. 54 enhances the usefulness of fund balance information by providing clearer fund balance classifications that can be more consistently applied and by clarifying the existing governmental fund type definitions. Statement No. 54 establishes fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to honor constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds. Statement No. 54 guidelines classify governmental funds' fund balances in the following categories, based on the relative severity of the spending constraints. The final three categories are considered unrestricted categories, and such unrestricted fund amounts are subject to the prerogative of the governmental entity.

A. NONSPENDABLE FUND BALANCES

This classification represents amounts that are inherently nonspendable. The amounts may be in a nonspendable form (such as inventory, pre-paid rent, long term portion of notes receivable) or the amounts may be required by legal or contractual provisions to be maintained intact (such as the corpus of an endowment fund).

B. RESTRICTED FUND BALANCES

This classification includes amounts that are constrained to specific purposes. The constraints may be externally imposed (for example by creditors, grantees, bondholders) or imposed by law. Examples of restricted fund balance for the City include grants, bond funds restricted for capital improvement projects, and funds restricted for debt service.

C. UNRESTRICTED FUND BALANCE

(i) COMMITTED fund balance classification is comprised of amounts that are constrained by formal action of the Council for a specific purpose(s). This classification may also include certain contractual obligations to the extent that existing resources in the fund have been specifically committed for use in satisfying such contractual requirements. Those funds committed by formal action of Council cannot be released from committed status or used for another purpose without a subsequent action of the Council. An example of committed fund balance for the City is the capital reserve fund balance.

(ii) ASSIGNED fund balance classification is comprised of amounts that are intended for a specific purpose as evidenced by the City's current adopted budget. The City Manager or the City Manager's designee would have the authority to modify assigned fund balance.

(iii) UNASSIGNED fund balance classification is comprised of residual net resources, in excess of the amounts in the foregoing categories. These amounts are available for any purpose, and are reported only in the general fund. Unassigned fund balance is not appropriated and the expenditure of any unassigned funds requires an action of the Council.

GASB Statement No. 54 further classifies rainy day funds or contingency funds as "stabilization arrangements" and places specific restrictions on what qualifies for such designation. The formal action of a governing body that imposes the parameters for these arrangements must identify and describe the specific circumstances under which a need for funding would arise. Those circumstances should be such that they would not be expected to occur routinely. The intended purpose must be sufficiently detailed and reported as either RESTRICTED or COMMITTED depending on the source of the constraint. The City establishes such contingency funds through the criteria and authorization required for establishing such fund balances as described in the preceding paragraphs. In addition to RESTRICTED and COMMITTED fund balances, the
city may also set-aside UNASSIGNED fund balance as stabilization arrangements.

IV. CITY FUND SUMMARY

The following describes the City's major governmental funds:

General Fund. The general fund is the general operating fund of the City. It is used to account for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in other funds. By definition, unassigned fund balance is reported in the General Fund. In other governmental funds, the unassigned classification should be used only to report a deficit balance from overspending for specific purposes for which amounts have been either restricted, committed, or assigned.

Special Revenue Fund. The special revenue fund is used to account for the proceeds of specific revenue sources that are restricted or committed to expenditure for specific purposes other than debt service or capital projects.

Bond Redemption Fund. The bond redemption fund (debt service fund) accounts for and reports financial resources that are restricted for the payment of principal and interest on obligations, if any, taking into account market exigencies and exercising prudence in funding contingencies for specific risks. These reserve funds may be restricted, assigned or committed, depending on the documents executed and underlying purpose(s).

Capital Projects Building Fund. The capital projects building fund is used to account for and report financial resources that are restricted, committed or assigned to expenditure of capital outlays, including the acquisition or construction of capital facilities and other capital assets.

Capital Reserve Fund. The capital reserve fund is used to accumulate resources, primarily general fund support, committed for the acquisition, renovation, and maintenance of capital assets.

V. POLICY DIRECTIVES & OPERATIONAL GUIDELINES

The GFOA recommends, at a minimum, that general purpose governments maintain aggregate unrestricted fund balances in their general fund of no less than two months (16.67%) of regular general fund operating revenues or expenditures, whichever is most predictable. The GFOA notes, however, that larger government entities may appropriately maintain a lesser level due to more predictable contingencies, higher revenue diversification and thus less revenue volatility. All measures should be applied within the context of long-term forecasting so as to avoid the risk of placing too much emphasis on the level of unrestricted fund balance in the general fund at any one time. GFOA recognizes that entities with a range of designated reserves may have sufficient liquidity to meet this defined standard through inclusion of funds formally designated for other purposes, yet available for re-designation should circumstances warrant. The following guidelines address the classification and the use of fund balance in governmental funds:

1. The City will make an annual determination as to its targeted unrestricted fund balance level. In determining this level of fund balance, the City will give consideration to revenue volatility and predictability, perceived exposure to significant one-time outlays, liquidity pressures, and ongoing commitments and assignments. It is understood that there will be discrepancies between GAAP fund balance and budgetary fund balance, and this parameter shall refer to the GAAP determined fund balance. Notwithstanding other requirements and fund balance designations as described herein, it shall be the policy of the City to seek to maintain an unrestricted General Fund balance equal to 16.67% of annual expenditures.

2. In accordance with Article X, section 20(5) of the Colorado Constitution, the City shall maintain an emergency reserve of 3% of fiscal year spending and shall evidence its compliance by restricting the appropriate dollar amount within the appropriate fund(s).

3. The City will establish reserve funds as required by the documents executed in conjunction with the City's outstanding debt and other long term obligations, if any, taking into account market exigencies and exercising prudence in funding contingencies for specific risks. These reserve funds may be restricted, assigned or committed, depending on the documents executed and underlying purpose(s).

4. Classifying fund balance amounts:
   Fund balance classifications depict the nature of the net resources that are reported in a governmental fund. An individual governmental fund may include nonspendable resources and amounts that are restricted, committed, or assigned, or any combination of those classifications. The general fund may also include an unassigned amount.

5. Encumbrance reporting
   Encumbering amounts for specific purposes for which resources have already been restricted, committed or assigned should not result in separate display of encumbered amounts. Encumbered amounts for specific purposes will be classified as committed or assigned, as appropriate, based on the definitions and criteria set forth in GASB Statement No. 54.

6. Prioritization of Fund Balance Use
   When expenditure is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted (committed, assigned, or unassigned) amounts are available, it shall be the policy of the City to consider restricted amounts to be reduced first. When an expenditure is incurred for purposes for which amounts in any of the unrestricted fund balance classifications could be used, it shall be the policy of the City that committed amounts would be reduced first, followed by assigned amounts and then unassigned amounts.

7. Minimum fund balances
   To ensure that the minimum and maximum fund balances and unfunded liabilities are reviewed in light of current fiscal realities, the Director will oversee a review of audited fund balances, unfunded liabilities and current and forecast economic conditions. This review should occur annually after the independent audit is completed and prior to budgeting for the ensuring fiscal year.

8. Replenishing deficiencies
   When the general fund balance falls below the minimum, the City will fulfill the required year-end fund balance before any other budget allocations in the subsequent fiscal year, unless the Council approves otherwise.

9. Non-Appropriated Operating Reserves
   Should unassigned fund balance of the General Fund exceed amounts deemed prudent and reasonable by the Council, the City shall consider such fund balance surpluses (refer to C.R.S. 22-44-105(1.5) et seq.) for one-time expenditure(s) that are nonrecurring in nature.
Debt Policy

I. POLICY STATEMENT

The City of Englewood is a political subdivision of the State, organized for the purpose of providing municipal services to residents of the City as defined in the Charter. The City is governed by a seven-member City Council. The Council's primary functions are to provide for the general operation and personnel of the City, to oversee the property, facilities and financial affairs of the City, and to establish policies for the City.

In general, debt policies should promote the illumination and evaluation of alignment -- and potentially the trade-offs -- between adopted policy statements and fiscal imperatives of the enacting entity. It is the intent that this Policy be informed by "best practices and advisories" developed by organizations such as the Government Finance Officers Association ("GFOA"), however the Policy must also reflect the objectives and tolerances of the City. The GFOA recommends that all state and local governments adopt comprehensive written debt management policies. This Policy has been drafted with reference to the guidance of the GFOA as of the date of adoption. It is understood that the GFOA amends and modifies its guidance over time.

The Council directs the City Manager or the Manager's designee to implement all policy. For the purposes of this Policy, the City Manager's designee is presumed to be the Director of Finance and Administrative Services (the "Director") who functions as the fiduciary entrusted to protect and enhance the City's financial condition. The Director is to periodically review the GFOA's Best Practices and Advisories and recommend conforming modifications to this Policy as warranted.

This Policy will set guidelines for the amount and type of debt to be issued by the City, the issuance process, and the management of outstanding indebtedness. The Policy is intended to enhance the quality of decisions about debt affordability, structure and management. Through this Policy, the Council will oversee the process by which the City will manage its debt, certificates of participation, lease purchase and other long-term obligations within available City resources. This Debt Policy should be read in its entirety, and read in conjunction with other Policies adopted by the City.

Terms used within this Policy have the meanings assigned to them in the Glossary of Municipal Securities Terms, published by the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board.

II. POLICY PURPOSE AND SCOPE

This Policy addresses the methods, procedures, and practices that will ensure prudent management of the City's debt. The guidelines contained in this Policy adhere to the Colorado Constitution and State Statutes and reflect the recommended practices of the Government Finance Officers Association.

Long-term obligations may take the form of general obligation bonds or lease agreements. Certificates of participation (COPs) may be created evidencing undivided interests in the right to payments under lease purchase agreements. Short-term obligations, payable during the same fiscal year in which they are issued (or immediately thereafter so long as payments are made from moneys in the budget for that fiscal year), may take the form of tax anticipation notes or loan agreements, including loan agreements between the City and the State Treasurer. General obligation bonds are debt under Colorado law and are multiple-fiscal year financial obligations under the section of the Colorado Constitution known as the Taxpayer's Bill of Rights (TABOR). Lease purchase agreements and COPs that are subject to annual appropriation, and short-term obligations, are not debt or multiple fiscal-year financial obligations and payments on them are not debt service under Colorado law but are often referred to as "debt" and "debt service" for purposes of this Policy. Those references are not intended to change the treatment of such obligations or payments under Colorado law.

The issuance of debt has significant long-term implications for the City. Accordingly, consideration will be given to the principles of equity (such that those who pay for the debt are those who benefit from the facilities funded by the issuance of such obligations), essentiality (if the debt finances an asset, the financed asset is essential to the City's core operation) and efficiency (the identified revenue source is sufficient to meet the debt service, and the cost of obtaining funds is less than competing alternatives).

This Debt Policy is not a comprehensive policy on the management of other City liabilities. This Debt Policy does not address City pension obligations.

III. GENERAL OBLIGATION DEBT LIMITS UNDER COLORADO LAW

Section 104 of the Home Rule Charter of the City establishes a debt threshold of 3% of actual valuation for all outstanding general obligation indebtedness of the City, other than for water bonds. General obligation debt that has been refunded or defeased, either by immediate payment or redemption and retirement or fully secured by legal defeasance obligations in an escrow account, is not to be deemed outstanding for the purposes of determining compliance with debt limitations.

IV. LEASE FINANCING UNDER COLORADO LAW

Municipalities are authorized under Colorado law to enter into lease financings and to convey municipal property to a lessee for the purpose of leasing it back. If the annual rent payable by the municipality is subject to annual appropriation and does not exceed the fair rental value of the leased property and the financing otherwise qualifies under applicable Colorado case law, the lease is not debt or a multiple-fiscal year financial obligation under the Colorado Constitution and may be entered into without voter authorization. The proceeds of lease financings generally must be used for capital projects. The Constitutional and statutory rules
applicable to lease financing are complicated. The City should always consult with Colorado bond counsel before entering into a lease financing.

V. SHORT-TERM FINANCING

The City is authorized under by Colorado law to enter into short-term loans and to issue tax anticipation notes for working capital purposes, provided that such loans are payable in the same fiscal year in which they are issued (or immediately thereafter so long as payments are made from moneys in the budget for that fiscal year).

VI. DEBT ISSUANCE FACTORS

The issuance of debt is subject to a set of terms that ensure oversight and fiscal prudence. This policy is consistent with the guidance provided by the GFOA as it relates to new and refunding issues, which further encourages that legal advice be sought early in this process to raise key legal, tax, and financial issues.

A. Debt shall comply with all applicable laws, regulations, and covenants and shall not be issued so as to jeopardize the status of outstanding debt.

B. Long-term debt shall not be incurred to fund operations.

C. Capital improvements may be financed utilizing the issuance of general obligation bonds, subject to voter approval, or from time to time through lease purchase obligations.

D. Principal and interest payment schedules will be structured to result in level debt service payments, except for the refinancing of liabilities, in which case debt service may reasonably reflect the structure of the liability being refinanced. In each case repayment structures may vary when circumstances warrant.

E. Debt incurred will generally be limited to current interest serial or term maturities, but may be sold if circumstances warrant.

F. The average life of debt issued to finance assets shall be no greater than the projected average life of the assets being financed.

G. The City may issue refunding bonds to reduce the interest cost on its outstanding debt or other obligations for other purposes allowable under State law. It shall be the policy of the Council to consider the advance refunding (refinancing) of any outstanding general obligation bonds when such refunding will achieve present value savings of at least 3% compared to the debt service on the obligations being refunded and a minimum net present value savings of $100,000; and will not require extending the maturity of the bonds beyond that of the bonds being refunded. The City should consider all available options, including maintaining the status quo (preserving the opportunity to evaluate the refunding at a future point in time), when presented with a refunding opportunity.

H. Refunding savings on current (non-advance) refunding bonds may be lower than the 3% threshold, as consideration shall be given to such factors as the declining rate of savings anticipated to be available as bonds reach their maturity date.

I. In certain circumstances a refunding that produces savings below the aforementioned thresholds may be justified. One such circumstance is to refund an obligation to remove or alter the covenants required in the original issue. Prudence shall dictate the decision by the City to refund for non-economic reasons.

VII. DEBT AFFORDABILITY

The City shall conduct a Debt Affordability Study in advance of referendum presentation for the issuance of general obligation bonds to the Council. The Study will be undertaken by the Director, with advisory or consultancy support as required. Such planning analyses of debt affordability will serve to make rational the assessment of the ability of the City to carry additional debt service. A Debt Affordability Study signals to the public, to the rating agencies and to the investment community that the City is taking seriously its fiduciary role in the oversight and management of its debt.

The Director shall evaluate and consider the results of the Debt Affordability Study when making recommendations about the issuance of debt. The Study, along with the Director’s review, may consider the following factors:

A. An analysis of the operating strength and aggregate debt burden of the City, relative to peer Cities, using metrics related to population, property values, wealth indicators and other such credit factors;

B. An assessment of implications of the proposed financing for the City’s rating and credit;

C. An analysis of financing and funding alternatives and a summary of the true interest cost of the proposed financing; and

D. An overview of the plan in the context of other capital needs.
VIII. FORM OF DEBT

Debt issued by the City, whether as general obligation bonds or lease agreements, may be issued as fixed rate obligations, with or without credit enhancements, and as short and long-term obligations. At the time of adoption it is not expected that the City will entertain any obligation with a derivative structure. However, prudence may dictate the consideration of derivatives in the future. Any consideration of a financing utilizing derivatives shall necessitate a comprehensive and robust discussion amongst the Council and Director prior to committing the City to any financing featuring derivatives.

The ultimate form of debt shall be recommended by the Director for consideration and approval by Council. Consideration shall be given to a number of factors as noted in prior sections of this Policy.

A. General Obligation Bonds

General Obligation debt is subject to voter approval. The City Council has the power to issue general obligation bonds on behalf of the City for any public capital purpose or public project of an essential nature to the City. General obligation debt may be incurred only by resolution, which cannot be repealed until the debt has been fully paid. No general obligation debt can be created unless it has been approved by a majority of the registered electors of the City, in an election held for that purpose. General Obligation debt shall be structured on a level debt service basis with a maximum maturity of 20 years, but terms may vary as conditions warrant. Debt service on general obligation debt is payable from a separate mill levy that is deposited into the City's bond redemption fund.

B. Revenue Bonds

As a general rule, revenue bonds will be used to finance assets that generate revenue which repay the obligation. Revenue bonds may be issued without approval of the registered electors of the City and are not payable from a dedicated mill levy. Revenue bonds shall not be included in the calculation of outstanding obligations counted towards the City's debt limit.

C. Lease Financings

Lease financings may be used for vehicles, buildings, and capital equipment. Lease financings are generally used for long-lived assets that would not be affordable if funded on a lump sum or cash basis during a single fiscal year. Lease financings are subject to approval by the City Council, and are not subject to voter referendum if the annual rent payable by the City is subject to annual appropriation and does not exceed the fair rental value of the leased property, and the financing otherwise qualifies under applicable Colorado law.

Criteria used to determine the use of lease financings include the essentiality of the assets to be funded, that annual appropriations will be available as necessary to fund annual costs and/or there is assurance that revenue enhancements or cost savings will be realized. In addition, other guidelines shall govern lease purchase/financings.

(i) Lease purchase financings will be secured by a lease payment related to the utilization by the City of the assets financed, or other available assets of the City, as well as legally available future revenues and appropriations.

(ii) Annual lease appropriation payments as a percentage of the general fund shall be monitored over time to set standards and metrics for the City going forward. In this assessment, consideration must be given to the circumstance when a lease appropriation payment replaces operating costs that would otherwise be incurred.

(iii) The term of any lease transaction shall not exceed the estimated useful life of the assets financed, and shall comply with additional restrictions governing average life and term as provided by Colorado law.

(iv) Lease financings may be entered into directly with the owner of the leased property, a financial institution, a nonprofit corporation or for profit entity. The preferred lessor for new lease financings and refinancings of existing lease financings that involve the issuance of certificates of participation will be a commercial bank acting as trustee.

IX. DEBT STRUCTURING PRACTICES

The following terms will govern the City in its debt structuring practices.

A. Interest shall not be capitalized for general obligation bonds. Interest may be capitalized for lease financings as warranted, as determined by the Director:

(i) to fund interest during construction and prior to financed assets being placed in service; or

(ii) to allow for the funding of interest costs during the budget year in which a transaction is completed, or when budgeted resources may not be available.

B. Debt issued by the City may contain optional redemption features. The Director will determine what is in the City's best interest in selecting appropriate dates and prices, taking into account such items as the cost of funds and future financial flexibility.
C. In the structure of a debt offering, original issue premiums and discounts will be used as deemed to be in the City's financial interest considering current investor demand, future cash flows and expected interest rate savings.

D. Capital appreciation bonds and zero coupon bonds shall only be used if deemed to be in the City’s financial interest considering current investor demand, future cash flows and expected interest rate savings.

E. When judged advantageous to the City, agreements providing credit enhancements with municipal bond insurance companies, commercial banks or other financial entities for the purposes of acquiring letters of credit or bond insurance policies may be obtained.

   (i) The projected net present value of the estimated debt service savings from the use of credit enhancement must be greater than the fees and/or premium paid by the City to obtain such credit support.

   (ii) A competitive process shall be used to procure credit enhancement providers.

F. When economically beneficial, the City shall seek to avail itself of options other than cash funding a debt service reserve fund.

X. METHODS OF SALE

It is the interest of the City to issue debt using the method of sale or placement of obligations that is expected to achieve the best sales results, at the least cost, taking into account both short-range and long-range implications.

A. Conditions which inform the decision about the use of a competitive sale process include:

   (i) the market is familiar and comfortable with the project being financed, the structure of the financing, and the revenues to be used to pay debt service;

   (ii) the issue is appropriately sized to attract investors without a concerted effort; and

   (iii) interest rates are stable and market demand is strong.

B. Conditions which inform the decision about the use of a negotiated sale process include:

   (i) the transaction is of significant size for the market;

   (ii) market timing will be a critical factor in garnering the lowest possible interest rate;

   (iii) the financing requires a complex or innovative structure;

   (iv) the market has concerns about the credit quality of the debt; and

   (v) the market is unfamiliar with the project, the structure of the financing, or the revenues to be used to pay debt service.

C. Conditions which inform the decision about the use of a private placement include:

   (i) small transaction size;

   (ii) time to market for transactions where time is of the essence; and

   (iii) transactions that have particular characteristics suited to one or a small number of interested buyers.

XI. CREDIT RATINGS

The Moody’s 2009 Public Finance Rating Methodology states that “formalized debt planning and debt policies provide bondholders with reassurances that debt burdens and operational debt costs will be kept at manageable levels while ongoing capital needs continue to be met. Debt policies typically specify both target debt burden levels and maximum allowable debt burden levels.” (p.13) Standard and Poor’s financial management assessment, conducted during the rating process, notes debt management policies among the areas most likely to affect credit quality (p. 65, Public Finance Criteria, 2007).

The City recognizes the importance of maintaining good relations with bond rating agencies in order to increase the financial market’s understanding of the credit, which may affect the City’s cost of borrowing. The City will seek a rating on all new issues. Exceptions to this requirement are permissible, such as when privately placing a transaction with an accredited investor or lending institution. As a matter of general policy:

A. The City shall seek to maintain or improve its credit ratings;

B. The City shall obtain an underlying rating on debt which is credit enhanced; and

C. The City shall comply with all legal obligations regarding regular and ongoing disclosure of financial and other information, and will proactively provide annual reports and other regularly available financial information to those agencies which rate City obligations.

XII. DEBT MANAGEMENT

The Director shall be responsible for ongoing debt management of the City. As a matter of policy, the City shall undertake the following as part of its ongoing debt management program.
A. The Director shall undertake periodic debt management performance reviews, no less than annually, which will include regular review of the City's outstanding debt issues, and include an analysis of net interest cost. Council shall receive periodic reports summarizing the debt outstanding, amortization schedules, and key debt ratios. The reports will include a review of the City Investment Policy and its consistency with this Debt Policy.

B. To the extent that there are one or more resolutions of the City Council that would allow for staff to execute financing transactions within defined parameters, the Director shall inform Council when any such authorized transaction is commenced. In the event that any such authorized financing is not pursued when market conditions contemplated by such resolution are available, the Council shall be informed.

C. The City shall comply with the applicable arbitrage regulations mandated by the Federal Government.

D. The City recognizes the importance of ongoing, proactive and transparent dissemination of information to the investment community, as the investors in City obligations, potential future investors, commercial and investment banks, and other market participants constitute important stakeholders for the long-term success of the City. For the benefit of its investors, the City will post its most recent financial reports, official statements, policies and other fiscal information relating to debt portfolio to the City website. In addition, the City provides certain information relating to its outstanding bonds and notes to nationally recognized municipal securities information repositories each year.

E. The City shall invest bond proceeds according to the City's Investment Policy.

XIII. LEGAL REVIEW

Prior to the issuance of any debt, the General Counsel of the City, in consultation with the Director, and with the advice of retained expert legal counsel, shall secure an opinion that the proposed debt is structured and issued in a manner which complies with all applicable laws and regulations of the state and federal governments. Bond Counsel will be retained, from a firm of national stature, to render opinions and prepare documents related to the issuance of debt. Such Bond Counsel shall have extensive experience in public finance, securities regulation and tax issues.

XIV. ADVISORS, CONSULTANTS AND FINANCIAL SERVICES

The Director may retain finance or other consulting professionals when such expertise is required. Such professionals may include, but not be limited to municipal advisors, bond trustees, registrar and paying agents, escrow agents, underwriters, tender/remarketing agents, credit and liquidity facility providers, and other professional services associated with debt financings. The selection and retention of finance professionals shall conform to the City's policies/practices that govern the procurement of professional services contracts.

XV. POLICY REVIEW AND REVISION

This Debt Policy shall be reviewed annually by the Director, and may be amended by the City Council as conditions warrant.
Investment Policy

I. POLICY STATEMENT

The Director of Finance and Administrative Services of the City of Englewood, Colorado is charged with the responsibility to prudently and properly manage any and all funds of the City. Because these funds may be called upon, it is essential that absolute maturity horizons are identifiable for the purpose of liquidity. Moreover, these funds must be fully collateralized and appropriately effective and sound fiscal management.

This Investment Policy shall apply to the Investment of all financial assets and all funds of the City's, prudently and properly manage any and all funds of the City authorized. The following Investment Policy addresses the methods, procedures and practices which must be exercised to ensure effective and sound fiscal management.

II. SCOPE

This Investment Policy shall apply to the Investment of all financial assets and all funds of the City of Englewood (hereafter referred to as the "City") over which it exercises financial control, except the City of Englewood Firefighters Pension Fund, Volunteer Firefighters Pension Fund, Police Officers Pension Fund, the Non-Emergency Employees Retirement Plan Fund and other City employee retirement plans.

In order to effectively make use of the City's cash resources, all monies shall be pooled into one investment account and accounted for separately. The investment income derived from this account shall be distributed to the various City funds in accordance with Englewood Municipal Code, 4-1-2-A.

III. OBJECTIVES

The City's funds shall be invested in accordance with all applicable City policies, Colorado statutes, and Federal regulations, and in a manner designed to accomplish the following objectives, which are listed in priority order:

A. Preservation of capital and the protection of investment principal.
B. Maintenance of sufficient liquidity to meet anticipated disbursements and cash flows.
C. Diversification to avoid incurring unreasonable risks regarding securities owned.
D. Attainment of market rate of return equal to or higher than the performance measure established by the Director of Finance and Administrative Services.

IV. DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY

The ultimate responsibility and authority for investment transactions involving the City resides with the Director of Finance and Administrative Services (hereinafter referred to as the "Director") who has been designated by the City Manager as the Investment Officer in accordance with Englewood Municipal Code. The Director may appoint other members of the City staff to assist him in the cash management and investment function. Persons who are authorized to transact business and wire funds on behalf of the City will be designated by the Director by the wire transfer agreement executed with the City's approved depository for bank services (see Appendix I).

The Director shall be responsible for all investment decisions and activities, and shall establish written administrative procedures for the operation of the City's investment program consistent with this Investment Policy. The Investment Officer acting within these procedures shall not be held personally liable for specific investment transactions.

The Director may in his discretion appoint one or more Investment Advisors, registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, to manage a portion of the City's assets. An appointed Investment Advisor may be granted limited investment discretion within the guidelines of this Investment Policy with regard to the City's assets placed under its management. An Investment Advisor can only be appointed after consultation with and approval by the City Manager.

V. PRUDENCE

The standard of prudence to be used for managing the City's assets is the "prudent investor" rule, which states that a prudent investor "shall exercise the judgment and care, under the circumstances then prevailing, which men of prudence, discretion, and intelligence exercise in the management of the property of another, not in regard to speculation but in regard to the permanent disposition of funds, considering the probable income as well as the probable safety of capital." (CRS 15-1-304, Standard for Investments.)

The City's overall investment program shall be designed and managed with a degree of professionalism that is worthy of the public trust. The City recognizes that no investment is totally without risk and that the investment activities of the City are a matter of public record. Accordingly, the City recognizes that occasional measured losses may occur in a diversified portfolio and shall be considered within the context of the portfolio's overall return, provided that adequate diversification has been implemented and that the sale of a security is in the best long-term interest of the City.

The Director and other authorized persons acting in accordance with established procedures and exercising due diligence shall be relieved of personal responsibility for an individual security's credit risk or market price changes, provided deviations from expectations are reported in a timely fashion to the City Council and appropriate action is taken to control adverse developments.
VI. ETHICS AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

All City employees involved in the investment process shall refrain from personal business activity that could conflict with proper execution of the investment program, or which could impair their ability to make impartial investment decisions. Employees and investment officials shall disclose to the City Manager any material financial interest in financial institutions that conduct business with the city, and they shall further disclose any large personal financial/investment positions that could be related to the performance of the City's portfolio. Employees shall subordinate their personal investment transactions to those of the City particularly with regard to the timing of purchases and sales.

VII. ELIGIBLE INVESTMENTS AND TRANSACTIONS

All investments will be made in accordance with the Colorado Revised Statutes (CRS) as follows: CRS 11-10.5-101, et seq. Public Deposit Protection Act; CRS 11-47-101, et seq. Savings and Loan Association Public Deposit Protection Act; CRS 24-75-601 et seq. Funds-Legal Investments; CRS 24-75-603, et seq. Depositories; and CRS 24-75-701, et seq. Local governments — authority to pool surplus funds. Any revisions or extensions of these sections of the CRS will be assumed to be part of this Investment Policy immediately upon being enacted.

As a home rule City, Englewood may adopt a list of acceptable investment instruments differing from those outlined in CRS 24-75-601, et seq. Funds-Legal Investments. Funds of the City of Englewood covered by this Investment Policy may be invested in the following types of securities and transactions:

1. U.S. Treasury Obligations; Treasury Bills, Treasury Notes and Treasury Bonds with maturities not exceeding five years from the date of trade settlement.

2. Treasury Strips (book-entry U.S. Treasury securities whose coupons have been removed) with maturities not exceeding five years from the date of trade settlement.

3. Federal Instrumentalities — Debentures, Discount notes, Medium-Term Notes, Callable Securities and Step-up Securities issued by the following only: Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA), Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB), Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC) and Federal Farm Credit Banks (FFCB), with maturities not exceeding five years from the date of trade settlement. Subordinated debt may not be purchased.

4. Repurchase Agreements with a termination date of 90 days or less utilizing U.S. Treasury and Federal Instrumentality securities listed above, collateralized at a minimum market value of 102 percent of the dollar value of the transaction with the accrued interest accumulated on the collateral included in the calculation.

Repurchase agreements shall be entered into only with dealers who:

a) Are recognized as Primary Dealers by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, or with firms that have a primary dealer within their holding company structure; and

b) Have executed a City approved Master Repurchase Agreement (see Appendix II). The Director shall maintain a file of all executed Master Repurchase Agreements.

Collateral (purchased securities) shall be held by the City's custodian bank as safekeeping agent, and the market value of the collateral securities shall be marked-to-the-market daily.

For the purpose of this section, the term "collateral" shall mean "purchased securities" under the terms of the City approved Master Repurchase Agreement. In no case will the maturity of the collateral exceed 10 years.

5. Reverse Repurchase Agreements with a maturity of 90 days or less executed only against securities owned by the City and collateralized by the same type of security reversed.

6. Flexible Repurchase Agreements with a final maturity of 10 years or less entered into by the City with approved counterparties. These flexible repurchase agreements may be closed out in varying amounts and at varying times at the option of the City. These agreements are deemed by both parties to be purchases and sales of securities and are not loans.

All such flexible repurchase agreements shall meet the following criteria:

- Be determined as legal and valid for both parties;
- Collateral shall be limited to:
  a) Securities issued by, guaranteed by, or for which the credit of any of the following is pledged for payment: the United States, Federal Farm Credit Bank, Federal Land Bank, Federal Home Loan Bank, Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, Federal National Mortgage Association, Export Import Bank or the Government National Mortgage Association; or
  b) Securities issued by, guaranteed by, or for which the credit of the following is pledged for payment: An entity or organization which is not listed in paragraph a) above, but which is 91) created by, or the creation of which is authorized by, legislation enacted by the United States Congress and which is subject to control by the federal government which is at least as extensive as that which governs an entity or organization listed in paragraph a)
above, and (2) rated in its highest rating category by one or more nationally recognized organizations which regularly rate such obligations.

- Have a fixed rate during the entire life of the agreement;
- The dollar amounts and periods of time when the City may draw funds out of the repurchase agreement shall be agreed upon in writing by both parties and shall be part of the written repurchase agreement exercised by the City and the approved counterparty;
- The City has the option of varying the dollar amount and the timing of the draw down by an agreed upon percentage of the anticipated draw down and a specified number of days. The City and the counterparty to the agreement will specify the details of the allowable variance when the agreement is structured. In addition, the City may draw down in excess of the variance up to the remaining balance in the agreement for a bona fide, unanticipated cash need;
- Collateral shall have a minimum market value (including accrued interest accumulated) of at least 102 percent of the dollar value of the transaction;
- Repurchase agreements shall be entered into only with dealers who are authorized by the Director and have executed a City approved Master Repurchase Agreement;
- The Director shall maintain a file of all executed Master Repurchase Agreements;
- The title to or a perfected security interest in securities, along with any necessary transfer documents, must be transferred and actually delivered to, and shall be held by, the City's third-party custodian bank acting as safekeeping agent. The market value of the collateral securities shall be marked-to-the-market at least weekly based on the closing bid price at the time the custodian for the collateral issues its monthly statement to the City.

For the purpose of the section, the term "collateral" shall mean "purchased securities" under the terms of the City approved Master Repurchase Agreement. In no case will the maturity of the collateral exceed 10 years.

7. Time Certificates of Deposit with a maximum maturity of five years or savings accounts in state or national banks or state or federally chartered savings banks operating in Colorado that are state approved depositories (as evidenced by a certificate issued by the State Banking Board) and are insured by the FDIC. Certificates of deposit that exceed the FDIC insured amount shall be collateralized in accordance with the Colorado Public Deposit Protection Act. The collateral shall have a market value equal to or exceeding 102 percent of the difference between the insured amount and the City's total deposits for all funds within the institution.

6. Money Market Mutual Funds registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 that 1) are "no-load" (i.e.: no commission or fee shall be charged on purchases or sales of shares); 2) have a constant net asset value per share of $1.00; 3) limit assets of the fund to securities authorized by state statute; 4) have a maximum stated maturity and weighted average maturity in accordance with Rule 2a-7 of the Investment Company Act of 1940; and 5) have rating of AAAm by Standard & Poor's, Aaa by Moody's and A by Standard & Poor's.

9. Local Government Investment Pools as authorized under CRS 24-75-702.

10. Prime Bankers Acceptances, rated at least A-1 by Standard & Poor's, P-1 by Moody's, or F1 by Fitch at the time of purchase by at least two services that rate them, with a maturity of six months or less issued on domestic banks or branches of foreign banks domiciled in the U.S. and operating under U.S. banking laws. Accepting banks must have a senior debt rating of A2 by Moody's and A by Standard & Poor's.

11. Prime Commercial Paper with a maturity of 270 days or less which, at the time of purchase, is rated at least A-1 by Standard & Poor's, P-1 by Moody's, or F-1 by Fitch.
   a. At the time of purchase, the commercial paper must be rated by at least two of the above stated rating agencies at the stated minimum rating.
   b. If the commercial paper issuer has senior debt outstanding, the senior debt must be rated at least A2 by Moody's, A by Standard & Poc's, or A by Fitch.

12. Corporate Bonds issued by a corporation or bank with a final maturity not exceeding three years from the date of trade settlement, rated at least AA- by Standard & Poor's, Aa3 by Moody's, or AA- by Fitch at the time of purchase by at least two services. Authorized corporate bonds shall be U.S. dollar denominated and issued by corporations organized and operating within the United States. The City hereby further authorizes investments in dollar denominated securities issued by a corporation or bank that is organized and operating within Canada or Australia, not to exceed 10% per country at the time of purchase.

13. General or Revenue obligations of any state in the United States or any political subdivision, institution, or authority of such a government entity. Municipal bonds must be rated A- by Standard & Poor's, A3 by Moody's, or A- by Fitch at the time of purchase by at least two services if the issuer is located in Colorado. Municipal bonds must be rated AA- by Standard & Poor's, Aa3 by Moody's or AA- by Fitch at the time of purchase by at least two services if the issuer is located outside the state of Colorado. The maximum maturity for municipal bonds is five years.

Securities that have been downgraded below minimum ratings described herein may be sold or held at the City's discretion. The portfolio will be brought back into compliance with Investment Policy guidelines as soon as is practical.
VIII. OTHER INVESTMENTS

It is the intent of the City that the foregoing list of authorized securities be strictly interpreted. Any deviation from this list must be pre-approved by the Director in writing after approval by the City Manager.

IX. INVESTMENT DIVERSIFICATION

It is the intent of the City to diversify the investment instruments within the portfolio to avoid incurring unreasonable risks inherent in over investing in specific instruments, individual financial institutions or maturities. The asset allocation in the portfolio should, however, be flexible depending upon the outlook for the economy, the securities market, and the City's cash flow needs.

The City may invest to the following maximum limits within each category:

- 50% in Certificates of Deposit
- 40% in Commercial Paper, 5% in any one issuer or its affiliates or subsidiaries
- 20% in Bankers Acceptances, 5% in any one issuer or its affiliates or subsidiaries
- 30% in Corporate Bonds, 5% in any one issuer or its affiliates or subsidiaries
- 30% in Municipal Bonds, 5% in any one issuer

The aggregate investment in Corporate Bonds, Commercial paper, and Bankers Acceptances shall not exceed 50% of the portfolio.

Tests for limitations on percentages of holdings apply to the composite of the entire portfolio of the City, not to individual portfolios maintained by the City. Percentage limitations used for measurements are based on the percentage of cost value of the portfolio at the time of purchase.

X. INVESTMENT MATURITY AND LIQUIDITY

Investments shall be limited to maturities not exceeding five years from the date of trade settlement. In addition, the weighted average final maturity of the total portfolio shall at no time exceed three years.

XI. SELECTION OF BROKER/DEALERS

The Director shall maintain a list of broker/dealers approved for investment purposes (see Appendix III), and it shall be the policy of the City to purchase securities only from those approved firms.

To be eligible, a firm must meet at least one of the following criteria:

1. Be recognized as a Primary Dealer by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York or have a primary dealer within their holding company structure,
2. Report voluntarily to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York,

Broker/dealers will be selected by the Director on the basis of their expertise in public cash management and their ability to provide service to the City's account. In the event that an external investment advisor is not used in the process of recommending a particular transaction in the City's portfolio, any authorized broker/dealer from whom a competitive bid is obtained for the transaction will attest in writing that he/she has received a copy of this policy and shall submit and annually update a City approved Broker/Dealer Information Request form which includes the firm's most recent financial statements.

The City may purchase Commercial Paper from direct issuers even though they are not on the approved list of broker/dealers as long as they meet the criteria outlined in Item 11 of the Eligible Investments and Transactions section of this Investment Policy.

XII. COMPETITIVE TRANSACTIONS

Each investment transaction shall be competitively transacted with authorized broker/dealers. At least three broker/dealers shall be contacted for each transaction and their bid and offering prices shall be recorded.

If the City is offered a security for which there is no other readily available competitive offering, then the Director will document quotations for comparable or alternative securities.

XIII. SELECTION OF BANKS AS DEPOSITORIES AND PROVIDERS OF GENERAL BANKING SERVICES

The City shall maintain a list of banks approved to provide banking services or from whom the City may purchase certificates of deposit. Banks in the judgment of the Director no longer offering adequate safety to the City will be removed from the list. To be eligible for authorization, a bank shall qualify as a depository of public funds in Colorado as defined in CRS 24-75-603.

XIV. SAFEKEEPING AND CUSTODY
The safekeeping and custody of securities owned by the City shall be managed in accordance with applicable Federal and Colorado laws and regulations.

The Director shall approve one or more banks to provide safekeeping and custodial services for the City. A City approved Safekeeping Agreement shall be executed with each custodian bank prior to utilizing that bank's safekeeping services. To be eligible, a bank shall qualify as a depository of public funds in the State of Colorado as defined in CRS 24-75-603 and be a Federal Reserve member financial institution.

Custodian banks will be selected on the basis of their ability to provide service to the City's account and the competitive pricing of their safekeeping related services. The City's designated custodian bank is set forth in Appendix IV of this Investment Policy.

The purchase and sale of securities and repurchase agreement transactions shall be settled on a deliver versus payment basis. Ownership of all securities shall be perfected in the name of the City, and sufficient evidence to title shall be consistent with modern investment, banking and commercial practices.

All investments purchased by the City shall be delivered by book entry and will be held in third-party safekeeping by the City's designated custodian bank or the Depository Trust Company (DTC).

All Fed wireable book entry securities owned by the City shall be evidenced by a safekeeping receipt or a customer confirmation issued to the City by the custodian bank stating that the securities are held in the Federal Reserve system in a Customer Account for the custodian bank which will name the City as "customer."

All DTC eligible securities shall be held in the custodian bank’s Depository Trust Company (DTC) participant account and the custodian bank shall issue a safekeeping receipt evidencing that the securities are held for the City as "customer."

The City's custodian will be required to furnish the City with a monthly report of securities held as well as an account of analysis report of monthly securities activity.

XV. PROVISIONS FOR ARBITRAGE

The City periodically issues debt obligations which are subject to the provisions of the Tax Reform Act of 1986 (section 148F), Arbitrage Rebate Regulations. Due to the legal complexities of arbitrage law and the necessary immunization of yield levels, the procedures undertaken in the reinvestment of all or a portion of the proceeds of such debt issuance may extend beyond those outlined in this Investment Policy. The Director, upon advice from Bond Counsel and financial advisors, may alter provisions of this Investment Policy for arbitrage related investments as may be necessary to conform with federal arbitrage regulations. In all cases, however, investments will be in compliance with Colorado Revised Statutes. This section is only applicable to City funds subject to arbitrage restrictions.

XVI. REPORTING

An investment report shall be prepared, at least on a monthly basis, listing the investments held by the City, the current market valuation of the investments and performance results. The monthly investment report shall be submitted in a timely manner to the City Manager and the City Council. A record shall be maintained by the Department of Finance and Administrative Services of all bids and offerings for securities transactions in order to ensure that the City receives competitive pricing.

The City has established reporting and accounting standards for callable U.S. Instrumentality securities. Callable securities may be retired at the issuer's option prior to the stated maximum maturity. All securities holding reports for the City shall disclose the stated maturity as well as the first call date of each callable security held. In the case of callable securities which are purchased priced to the first call date and, in the opinion of the Director, have an overwhelming probability of being called on the first call date, weighted average maturity, amortization as well as yield shall be calculated using the first call date. The Director may, however, choose to use a further call date maturity date for reporting purposes when conditions mandate.

XVII. PERFORMANCE REVIEW

The Director and the City Manager shall meet at least quarterly to review the portfolio's adherence to appropriate risk levels and to compare the portfolio's total return to the established investment objectives and goals.

The Director shall periodically establish a benchmark yield for the City's Investments which shall be equal to the average yield on the U.S. Treasury security which most closely corresponds to the portfolio's actual effective weighted average maturity. When comparing the performance of the City's portfolio, all fees and expenses involved with managing the portfolio should be included in the computation of the portfolio's rate of return.
Audit Procurement

In accordance with state law, the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) of the City shall be audited annually, following the close of the fiscal year.

As contemplated in the City Charter, the Council shall appoint an independent certified public accountant licensed to practice in Colorado and knowledgeable in government accounting to conduct the audit and the audit shall contain the following:

1. Financial statements prepared in conformity with generally accepted governmental accounting principles.
2. All funds and activities of the City.
3. A budget to actual comparison for each fund and activity.
4. The auditor's opinion on the financial statements. If the opinion is anything other than unqualified, the reason must be explained.
5. Disclosure of all instances of noncompliance with federal or state law.
6. A supplemental listing of all investments held by the City at the date of the financial statement.
7. A calculation of the City's fiscal year spending in accordance with the state constitution.

The auditor also shall make recommendations to the Council concerning its financial records, procedures and related activities as may appear necessary or desirable and shall perform such other related services as may be requested by the City Council.

The City's CAFR shall be prepared and presented in a manner consistent with applicable federal and state laws, regulations, and rules in addition to the best practices of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board and the Governmental Finance Officers Association.

Appointment of Auditor

To create a balance between independence, fresh perspectives, staff continuity, audit efficiency and competitive pricing the City will solicit proposals for auditing services at least every six years. The incumbent auditor is barred from responding to the solicitation unless the firm can indicate a rotation of principals.

The Council shall annually approve the appointment of an auditor to audit the City’s financial statements.

Only in unique and extraordinary circumstances, when it is deemed to be in the City's best interest, is the Council to consider approving the appointment of the incumbent auditor for an additional single year beyond a six-year period. In no event is an auditor to be retained to audit the City's financial statements for more than seven consecutive years.

Nothing in this policy prohibits the Council from acting to limit the duration of the engagement in order to protect the City's interests should the Council determine an early termination is warranted for performance or pricing considerations or other criteria advantageous to protecting the City's reputation or financial condition.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mon., Oct. 2</td>
<td>6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>City Council Study Session, Community Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 p.m.</td>
<td>City Council Regular Meeting, Council Chambers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tue., Oct. 3</td>
<td>CANCELLED</td>
<td>Planning and Zoning Commission, City Council Conference Room/Council Chambers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wed., Oct. 4</td>
<td>11:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Alliance for Commerce in Englewood, City Council Conference Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5:45 p.m.</td>
<td>Cultural Arts Commission, City Council Conference Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Englewood Liquor, Retail and Medical Marijuana Licensing Authority. Council Chambers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon., Oct. 9</td>
<td>6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>City Council Study Session, Community Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tues., Oct. 10</td>
<td>6:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Keep Englewood Beautiful, City Council Conf. Rm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Public Library Board, Library Board Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wed., Oct. 11</td>
<td>7:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Board of Adjustment and Appeals, Council Chambers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Englewood Urban Renewal Authority, Council Conference Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thurs., Oct. 12</td>
<td>5:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Parks and Recreation Commission, Malley Recreation Center, 3800 S. Lincoln St.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon., Oct. 16</td>
<td>6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>City Council Study Session, Community Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 p.m.</td>
<td>City Council Regular Meeting, Council Chambers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tue., Oct. 17</td>
<td>7:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Planning and Zoning Commission, City Council Conference Room/Council Chambers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wed., Oct. 18</td>
<td>6:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Historic Preservation Commission, Community Development Conference Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Englewood Liquor, Retail and Medical Marijuana Licensing Authority. Council Chambers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon., Oct. 23</td>
<td>6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>City Council Study Session, Community Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tues., Oct. 24</td>
<td>5:15 p.m.</td>
<td>Budget Advisory Committee, City Council Conference Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wed., Nov. 1</td>
<td>11:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Alliance for Commerce in Englewood (ACE) City Council Conference Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5:45 p.m.</td>
<td>Cultural Arts Commission, City Council Conference Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Englewood Liquor, Retail and Medical Marijuana Licensing Authority. Council Chambers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon., Nov. 6</td>
<td>2:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Malley Center Trust Fund, Malley Recreation Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:00 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td>City Council Study Session, Community Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:00 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td>City Council Regular Meeting, Council Chambers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tues., Nov. 7</td>
<td>6:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Keep Englewood Beautiful, City Council Conf. Rm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Planning and Zoning Commission, City Council Conference Room/Council Chambers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wed. Nov. 8</td>
<td>7:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Board of Adjustment and Appeals, Council Chambers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Englewood Urban Renewal Authority, Council Conference Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thur., Nov. 9</td>
<td>CANCELLED</td>
<td>Police Officers Pension Board, Public Works Conference Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CANCELLED</td>
<td>Firefighters Pension Board, Public Works Conference Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Parks and Recreation Center, Broken Tee Golf Course, 2101 W. Oxford Ave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Transportation Advisory Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon., Nov. 13</td>
<td>6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>City Council Study Session, Community Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tues., Nov. 14</td>
<td>3:30 p.m.</td>
<td>NonEmergency Employees Retirement Board, Public Works Conference Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Public Library Board, Library Board Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wed., Nov. 15</td>
<td>6:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Historic Preservation Commission, Community Development Conference Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Englewood Liquor, Retail and Medical Marijuana Licensing Authority, Council Chambers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon., Nov. 20</td>
<td>6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>City Council Study Session, Community Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 p.m.</td>
<td>City Council Regular Meeting, Council Chambers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tue., Nov. 21</td>
<td>7:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Planning and Zoning Commission, City Council Conference Room/Council Chambers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon., Nov. 27</td>
<td>6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>City Council Study Session, Community Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tues., Nov. 28</td>
<td>5:15 p.m.</td>
<td>Budget Advisory Committee, City Council Conference Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon., Dec. 4</td>
<td>6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>City Council Study Session, Community Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7:00 p.m.</td>
<td>City Council Regular Meeting, Council Chambers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tue., Dec. 5</td>
<td>7:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Planning and Zoning Commission, City Council Conference Room/Council Chambers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wed., Dec. 6</td>
<td>11:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Alliance for Commerce in Englewood, City Council Conference Room</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TENTATIVE
STUDY SESSIONS TOPICS
FOR ENGLEWOOD CITY COUNCIL

Oct 2  Study Session
      Police Building Construction Update

Oct 2  Regular Meeting

Oct 9  Study Session
      Museum of Outdoor Art Report
      RTD Parking Matter
      Navpoint Presentation on EMRF Leasing Activity

Oct 16 Study Session
       Wayfinding Signage
       Short Term Rentals
       Start up in a day Update

Oct 23 Study Session
       FAA Flightpath Amendment Discussion
       Youth Commission Discussion
       Monthly Financial Review

Oct 30 No planned meeting – 5th Monday

Nov 6  Study Session
       Discussion of Police Building Bond Premium

Nov 6  Regular Meeting

Nov 13 Study Session
       Drone Ordinance Discussion

Nov 20 Regular Meeting

Nov 27 Study Session
       B&C Reappointment Discussion
       Immigration Policy Discussion
       ADU Follow Up
       Monthly Financial Review

Dec 4  Regular Meeting

Dec 11 Study Session
       Council Policy Discussion
       Comprehensive Plan Check in
       BAC Joint Meeting with Council
Dec 18  Regular Meeting

Christmas Holidays

Jan 2  Regular Meeting
Jan 8  Study Session
Jan 15 Regular Meeting
Jan 22 Study Session
Jan 29 5th Monday – No Meeting scheduled

FUTURE STUDY SESSION TOPICS

Board & Commission Presentations
Small Cell Technology Discussion

Boards and Commissions
Board and Commission Interviews (January and June)
Alliance for Commerce in Englewood Committee
Board of Adjustment and Appeals
Budget Advisory Committee
Code Enforcement Advisory Board (May)
Cultural Arts Commission
Election Commission
Englewood Housing Authority (February)
Keep Englewood Beautiful Commission (May)
Liquor Licensing Authority
Fire Pension, Police Pension and Retirement Board
Parks and Recreation Commission
Planning and Zoning Commission (February)
Public Library Board (June)
Transportation Advisory Committee
Urban Renewal Authority
Water and Sewer Board